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Optically Segmented Single-Volume Scatter Camera
- Scintillator characterization (UCB/LBNL)
- Simulation and imaging studies (SNL)

Focus Area: Radiation Detection and Instrumentation
Crosscutting Area: Modeling and Simulation
• Organic scintillators can detect fast neutrons via np-elastic scattering
• Fast neutron detection central to NNSA Mission (SNM search/standoff detection, imaging, cargo screening, arms control, emergency response)
  o e.g., Single Volume Scatter Camera (Sandia, Brubaker)
Organic scintillators for national security

- In this talk, I will discuss three different research efforts related to the characterization of organic scintillators
  - Proton light yield of several SVSC candidate materials
  - Novel organic glass from Sandia
  - Pulse height vs. pulse integral for proton light yield
Beam time supported via collaboration with the Nuclear Data Group in the Nuclear Science Division at LBNL through US DOE-SC

Kinematically over-constrained system provides systematic check

\[ E_p = E_n \tan^2 \theta \]
\[ E_p = E_n \sin^2 \theta \]
\[ E_p = E_n - E'_n \]

Several plastic scintillators from Eljen that are considered candidate materials for the SVSC were measured: EJ-200, **EJ-204**, EJ-208.

Results show similar light yields for all three (due to shared PVT base).

Light yield was measured from **50 keV** to 5 MeV, allowing for constraints on physics-based scintillation models.

• Measurements of fast plastic materials also being considered for the SVSC (EJ-230, EJ-232, EJ-232Q) show proton light yield consistent with EJ-20x series (same PVT base)


• Does scintillator size affect the relative proton light yield?
• EJ-309 measurement in Enqvist, et al. NIM A 715 (2013) said yes
• Our work shows that there is no size dependence for EJ-232, EJ-232Q (which have short attenuation lengths)
新型有机玻璃材料开发于桑迪亚国家实验室

- 新型熔铸有机玻璃材料已开发于桑迪亚Livermore
- 相对电子光产额、质子光产额、和PSD已表征并比较于EJ-309和EJ-276

Boron-loaded organic glass

- SNL glass can be loaded with boron to add thermal neutron detection via:

\[
^{10}B + n = \begin{cases} 
^{7}Li + ^{4}He, & Q = 2.792 \text{ MeV}, 6\% \\
^{7}Li + ^{4}He + \gamma(477.6 \text{ keV}), & Q = 2.310 \text{ MeV}, 94\% 
\end{cases}
\]

- Using 478 keV gamma + observation PSD, neutron scatter events and capture/gamma scatter events can be separated in target PSD (below)

• Light yields are sometimes reported using the pulse height (PH) of the digitized photodetector trace (or with a short integration length)
  o Strictly speaking, only the total pulse integral (PI) of a digitized trace is proportional to the number of scintillation photons
• Literature shows discrepancies in proton light yield for EJ-309
  o Wide range of integration lengths used

![Pulse height vs. pulse integral graph](image-url)
In organic scintillators pulse can be dependent on both particle and energy, implying that PH and PI are not proportional.

Comparison of proton light yields from PI and PH (or short integration length PI) show clear energy-dependent bias in relevant energy range.

Light yield measured with digitizer should use PI!

---

**Pulse height vs. pulse integral**

![Graph showing pulse height vs. proton energy for different materials.

- Organic Glass - Shin et al. (2019)
- EJ-309 - Lawrence et al. (2014)
- EJ-299 - Lawrence et al. (2014)
- EJ-204 - Laplace et al. (2018)
Summary

- **Relative proton light yield for several organic scintillators**
  - Consistent results across different materials with same PVT base
  - No size dependence found for EJ-232, EJ-232Q
- **Novel organic glass from Sandia Livermore**
  - Performs favorably (electron light yield, proton light yield, PSD) compared to commonly used PSD materials
  - Boron-loading allows for thermal neutron capture signal and outperforms commercially available EJ-254
- **Pulse height vs. pulse integral**
  - Proton light yields should be calculated using pulse integral, even for non-PSD materials
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