

Nuclear Science and Security Consortium Virtual Scholar Showcase 2020

Neutron multiplicity and new technologies with ANNIE

June 2, 2020 Vincent Fischer University of California, Davis

June 2 - 3, 2020



Introduction









Focus Area:

Nuclear and Particle Physics **Crosscutting Area:**

Nuclear Data



Experiment partnership:

Dr. John Ullmann Los Alamos National Laboratory

Academic advisor: Professor Robert Svoboda Department of Physics University of California, Davis

Lab mentor: Laboratory

Dr. Steven Dazeley Lawrence Livermore National





- WATCHMAN (WATer CHerenkov Monitor for ANtineutrinos) is part of AIT, the Advanced Instrumentation Testbed
- 1 kt neutrino detector for nuclear non-proliferation
- US/UK collaboration: Detector to be built in the Boulby mine (UK) ~25km away from the Hartlepool Nuclear Power Station (Two 1.5 GW reactors)
- Aims to measure the antineutrino flux from a remote nuclear reactor though the Inverse Beta Decay reaction:

 $\overline{v}_{e} + p \rightarrow e^{+} + n$

 Detection medium: Gadolinium-loaded water or Waterbased Liquid Scintillator









Overview of ANNIE





Funded by the DOE Office of Science

Lab partner: Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory

Steven Dazeley Marc Bergevin



- **ANNIE** is the Accelerator Neutrino Neutron Interaction Experiment
- Gd-loaded water Cherenkov detector placed downstream of the Booster Neutrino Beam at Fermilab
- Aims at understanding final state neutron multiplicity from neutrino interactions and demonstrating new technologies in the fields of fast photosensors and detection media
- Taking data since the beginning of 2020 after a successful background measurement (JINST 15 (2020) 03, P03011) 3





Multiplicity of final state neutrons from neutrino-nucleus interactions in water

- Presence of extra final state neutrons
 - → Possible measure of inelasticity in neutrino interactions

 Understanding this neutron yield is crucial to reduce bias in neutrino energy reconstruction

• Requires high statistics and precise kinematics reconstruction







Fast photosensors and novel detection media

LAPPD R&D and demonstration

- Large Area Picosecond PhotoDetectors (LAPPDs): 20x20 cm microchannel plates with ~60-ps time resolution and <1 cm spatial resolution
- First use of this new technology in a running neutrino experiment
- Demonstrate LAPPDs are ready for research and deployment as photosensors for HEP



Novel detection media

- First application of Gd-loaded water on a neutrino beam
- Water-based Liquid Scintillator (WbLS): Mixture of water and liquid scintillator allowing emission of both Cherenkov and scintillation light

ANNIE will allow the combined use of all the previous technologies in a single high-statistics experiment





The ANNIE detector



- **Gadolinium-loaded water** volume of 26 tons (0.1% by weight)
- Photosensors: 132 PMTs (8, 10 and 11-inch, ~20% total photocoverage) and more than 5 LAPPDs distributed in the tank
- Front Muon Veto: Scintillator paddles tagging charged particles originating from the rock upstream
- Muon Range Detector (MRD): Legacy from SciBooNE, ironscintillator sandwich detector capable of **muon direction and** energy reconstruction
- ~10,000 CC interactions per ton per year (2 × 10²⁰ POT) expected





The ANNIE detector



- Gadolinium-loaded water volume of 26 tons (0.1% by weight)
 Photosensors: 132 PMTs (8, 10 and 11-inch, ~20% total photocoverage) and more than 5 LAPPDs distributed in the tank
- Front Muon Veto: Scintillator paddles tagging charged particles originating from the rock upstream
- Muon Range Detector (MRD): Legacy from SciBooNE, ironscintillator sandwich detector capable of muon direction and energy reconstruction
- ~10,000 CC interactions per ton per year (2 × 10²⁰ POT) expected





The ANNIE detector



Gadolinium-loaded water volume of 26 tons (0.1% by weight) Front electronics Muon racks Veto (FMV) Photosensors: **132 PMTs** (8, 10 and 11-inch, ~20% total Gd-loaded photocoverage) and more than **5 LAPPDs** distributed in the tank water volume Muon Range Detector (MRD) Beam **Front Muon Veto**: Scintillator paddles **tagging charged particles** photosensors originating from the rock upstream Muon Range Detector (MRD): Legacy from SciBooNE, ironscintillator sandwich detector capable of **muon direction and** energy reconstruction • \sim 10,000 charged current interactions per ton per year $(2 \times 10^{20} \text{ POT})$ expected



How will ANNIE work?





- 1.a CC interaction in the fiducial volume
- 1.b Muon momentum and interaction vertex reconstructed using PMTs and LAPPDs
- 1.c Muon momentum reconstructed with the MRD
- 2 Neutrons thermalize in the water volume
- 3-4 Neutron capture on gadolinium detected by the PMTs



Building the detector







The ANNIE calibration



LED calibration

- 6 fibers distributed in the tank and connected to LEDs
- Purpose:
 - Water transparency measurement
 - > ns PMT timing
 - PMT gain matching

Source deployment

- 5 deployment ports on top of the tank
- Main purpose: Deployment of a tagged Americium-Beryllium gamma-neutron source built in collaboration with LLNL
- Neutron efficiency studies throughout the water volume

Will be added soon:

- Laserball → sub-ns PMT timing
- Standard candle → LED-independent detector stability

Work in collaboration with LLNL \rightarrow Interest from WATCHMAN







- Large water Cherenkov detectors need to circulate and purify their water in order to keep a high level of transparency
- ANNIE developed **its own low cost purification system** better suited for its water volume than existing systems
- **Goal**: **Remove impurities** (dust, bacteria, dissolved plasticizers and UV-absorbent ions) from the water **without removing gadolinium**
- **Method** \rightarrow Use conventional filters and sterilizing lamps in combination with an **ultrafilter** and a *tuned* ion exchange resin*
- **Promising results** obtained after loading ANNIE with gadolinium
- Such a system could be used by **small to medium scale detectors** using Gd-loaded water as their detection medium





* more details in Fischer et al., arXiv:2004.04629







ANNIE as a testbed for Water-based liquid scintillator



- Water-based Liquid Scintillator (WbLS) is a mixture of pure water and oil-based liquid scintillator held together by a surfactant in a "micelle" structure
- Combines the advantages of water (low light attenuation, low cost) and liquid scintillator (high light yield) with the emission of Cherenkov and scintillation light

 \rightarrow High tunability and attractiveness for high energy and nuclear physics

- The **modularity** of ANNIE and the collaborators' experience with WbLS are the key points behind **ANNIE as a WbLS testbed**
- Ongoing discussions on the deployment of a smaller WbLS-filled vessel in the ANNIE tank this year
- ANNIE would be the first detector using WbLS as a detection medium on a neutrino beam
- Valuable inputs for the next generation of water Cherenkov detectors
 → WATCHMAN and Theia









R&D on WbLS



- As a new and promising medium, WbLS is the topic of several R&D studies
- Filtration and purification:
 - Apply nanofiltration to separate organic and water components and purify them separately
- Material compatibility:
 - Ensure WbLS isn't damaging materials in the detector and vice versa
 - Thorough screening process for all materials (acrylic, PVC, PTFE, etc..)
- Optical properties:
 - Measure the attenuation and scattering lengths of WbLS using a 10-m long arm
 - In construction at UC Davis
- Calorimetric properties:
 - Measure the light yield of several cocktails of WbLS
 - Study of **environmental factors** such as temperature







- ANNIE Phase II has started taking physics data
- ANNIE will demonstrate the combined use of **new detection media** and **fast photosensors**
- The resulting R&D will directly impact the next generation of non-proliferation detectors such as WATCHMAN and the AIT program in general
- ANNIE and WATCHMAN have very strong ties in terms of analysis, R&D and collaborators

THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION!

NSSC Experience



- I presented results at the NSSC Program Reviews in 2017 and 2018, Fall Workshop in 2019
- Every year, UC Davis hosts the Nuclear Analytical Techniques (NAT) Summer School and since 2016, I've been giving lectures and have been in charge of the Neutron Activation Analysis (NAA) activity:
 - Preparing samples \rightarrow Metals, liquids, mystery samples, etc..
 - Irradiation and HPGe counting at the MNRC reactor
 - Analysis of the HPGe spectra, determination of the mystery element and concentration
- Very enriching experience to teach students what we do in our every day lab life
- I **collaborated** on several occasions (2 beam runs + follow up studies) with experts at the LANSCE neutron beam (LANL)
- This helped me develop a lot of skills in **detector design and construction**, low background assays and background mitigation that I would like to apply to continue to apply to the NNSA mission in a National Laboratory



McClellan nuclear reactor core



ACED team at LANI



Acknowledgments





This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy National Nuclear Security Administration under Award Number DE-NA0003180.

Disclaimer: This presentation was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.





BACK-UP



ANNIE at Fermilab







$\textbf{ANNIE} \rightarrow \textbf{WATCHMAN} \rightarrow \textbf{Theia}$







ANNIE timeline



Pure water Summer 2019 → Commissioning → DONE!

Gd-loaded water

Fall 2019 - Summer 2020

Additional LAPPDs

Fall 2020

→ Physics data taking

- → Neutron yield measurement
- → In progress
- \rightarrow CC cross section measurement
- \rightarrow Deployment of a WbLS vessel in the detector (temporary)
- \rightarrow More detailed reconstruction of multi-track final states and pions
- → Possible NC cross section measurement

Phase III ~2021

→ Testbed for new technologies



Measuring beam-induced neutron backgrounds with ANNIE Phase I



- ANNIE was designed to be a multi-phase experiment:
 - Phase I \rightarrow Engineering run and background measurement
 - Phase II → First physics run
 - Phase III \rightarrow Physics run and testbed for new technologies
- Phase I \rightarrow Measurement of beam-induced neutron backgrounds:
- Key physical infrastructures common with Phase II













- Background neutron rate per spill per ton is less than 2% (5% total rate in the tank)
- Neutron background is not an issue for the Phase II physics
- Imminent publication









- SciBar: Scintillator tracking detector (14'000 bars, 14 tons)
- Electron Catcher: 2 planes of calorimeter (lead and scintillating fibers)
- Muon Range Detector
- Measurement of CC-QE, CC-π[±], CC-π⁰, NC-ES cross-sections



PSEC-4 electronics for LAPPD



PSEC4 chips

- CMOS-based waveform sampling chip
- Up to 15 GSamples/s
- 1 mV noise
- 6 channels per chip
- Operated on a test beam, scalable to large systems
- ANNIE Central Cards to control ACDC cards (30 channels, 5 PSEC ASICs)
- Lots of work done and ongoing at U. Chicago (H. Frisch's group, http://psec.uchicago.edu/) and ISU (M. Wetstein's group)



Image source: Jonathan Eisch (ISU)



ANNIE Central Card



Meticulous characterization of LAPPDs









- LAPPDs dramatically improve vertex and muon kinematics (angle and momentum transfer) resolution
 - **Vertex resolution** \rightarrow Interaction point reconstruction and neutron containment
 - Muon kinematics → Better energy reconstruction
 - **Precision timing** → Multi-tracks separation
- ANNIE has been an early LAPPD adopter since the beginning and maintains strong ties with the INCOM company, current manufacturer of LAPPDs
 Reconstruction resolution of variable Δr



→ Significant improvement of vertex reconstruction by adding 5 LAPPDs!



How to deploy an LAPPD in a water tank?





- LAPPD and integrated electronics in a waterproof housing
- **Deployed** and **moved** along **tracks** on the side of the structure
- Easily accessible from the top of the tank



Matthew Wetstein, ISU



Neutrons as an indicator of inelasticity





- Pure CCQE interactions should not produce neutrons but inelastic CC interactions do
- The presence of final state neutrons in a CC interaction likely means something inelastic happened
- Neutron-generating processes: Stuck (absorbed) pions, 2p-2h, etc..

→ Final state neutrons are a sign of inelasticity and ANNIE will be sensitive to these neutrons



The Booster Neutrino Beam







- 8 GeV protons from the Booster beam hitting a beryllium target with reversible horn polarity
- Repetition rate of ~5 Hz, 5 × 10¹² protons-on-target per 1.6 µs spill on average
- Mean neutrino energy of 700 MeV
- Composition in neutrino mode: 93 % of ν_{μ} , 6.4 % of $\overline{\nu}_{\mu}$ and 0.6 % of ν_{e} and $\overline{\nu}_{e}$
- 100 meters upstream from ANNIE
- Provides about one v_{μ} charged current interaction in the ANNIE water volume every 150 spills
- Energy range of interest for most long baseline oscillation experiments



On the importance of vertex reconstruction





Interaction vertex at (x,y,z)Interaction vertex correctly reconstructed at (x,y,z)Neutron detection efficiency at (x,y,z) = 50%1 neutron detected \rightarrow 2 neutrons expected

 \rightarrow 2 neutrons really emitted

No neutron yield bias



Interaction vertex at (x,y,z) Interaction vertex mis-reconstructed at (x',y',z') Neutron detection efficiency at (x,y,z) = 50% Neutron detection efficiency at (x',y',z') = 20% 1 neutron detected \rightarrow 5 neutrons expected \rightarrow 2 neutrons really emitted

Neutron yield bias!