



¹²C Inelastic Scattering Cross Sections using GENSIS Organic Scintillators

> 2nd Lieutenant Brayden Buckley Air Force Institute of Technology Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

NSSC Fall Workshop and Advisory Board Review October 17-18, 2023



Introduction





University Air Force Institute of Technology Dept. of Nuclear Engineering

Advisor Dr. Juan Manfredi



Research Focus: Neutron Inelastic Scattering Cross Sections

Mission Relevance:

Accuracy of high priority cross sections



Partner Lab Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Mentor Dr. Thibault Laplace



Objectives $- {}^{12}C(n,n'\gamma)$



Overall Goal:

Create an accurate energy dependent inelastic scattering cross section of ¹²C using only EJ-309 organic scintillators

Secondary Deliverables:

EJ-309 neutron and gamma ray detection efficiencies





GENESIS Overview



- Gamma Energy Neutron Energy
 Spectrometer for Inelastic Scatter
- Array is housed in Cave 5 located at the 88-Inch Cyclotron
 - d breakup, broad spectrum n beam
- Array of 26 organic scintillators and 3 clover HPGe's
- Scatter time-of-flight (sToF) array used to measure beam characteristics







New Analysis Approach w/ GENESIS:

- Organic scintillator only measurements create new method of validation of cross section measurements with comparison between scintillator-only and scintillator + HPGe values
- Measure cross section using n-γ coincidences in EJ-309 scintillators
 - Due to the isolated 4.4 MeV excited state gamma ray, cross section can also be measured with γ data only



		First 3 excited states of ¹² C			
Energy	\mathbf{J}^{π}	Ε (γ)	IT (%)	Final	Final
Level (keV)				Level (keV)	Level (\mathbf{J}^{π})
0	0+				
4439.82	2+	4438.94	100	0	0+
7654.07	0+	3213.79	$4.26 \mathrm{x} 10^{-2}$	4439.82	2+
9641	3-	9637	$4.1 \mathrm{x} 10^{-5}$	0	0+



¹²C GENESIS Experiment



- ¹²C experiment conducted, February 2023
- 25 MeV deuterons on carbon breakup target
- A carbon *reaction* target was placed in the center of the GENESIS detector array
- Approximately 90 hours of beam time recorded on target, 20 hours of blank data
- Beam flux was monitored using a scatter timeof-flight array and activation foils
- Parallel HPGe + scintillator analysis in progress







- Organic scintillator gamma efficiencies can be difficult to measure, sparse in literature
 - No photopeak
 - Compton edge + continuum
- Even more difficult at higher energies
 - Few gamma ray sources emit single gamma rays at ~4 MeV









- Set threshold at 60 keV using Am-241 photopeak
- Calculated efficiencies of all spectra using set 60 keV threshold based on Am-241 calibration











- Conducted measurements of Am-241, Cs-137, Na-22, and AmBe to experimentally determine efficiency values at several energies
- Created Geant4 simulations of similar environment to compare experimental and simulated efficiency values









- Remeasure gamma ray efficiency at 4.4 MeV using a different method (i.e., α -beam on Be, well-characterized AmBe)
- Determine neutron efficiency of the organic scintillators in the array using ²⁵²Cf measurements and simulation
- Calculate angle and energy dependent cross sections of ¹²C using the positions and efficiencies of the organic scintillators



NSSC Experience



- Research collaboration with LBNL, LLNL
- Upcoming dedicated research trip to LBNL
- Academic advisor (Dr. Juan Manfredi) is an NSSC alum
- Experimental and analysis work with mentor at LBNL





Acknowledgements





This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy National Nuclear Security Administration under Award Number **DE-NA0003996.**

This presentation was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.