

Nuclear Science & Security

Consortium

Safeguarding Protactinium through Surrogate Niobium Chemistry

Megan N. Schiferl,^{a,b} Jeffrey R. McLachlan,^{a,b} Jennifer N. Wacker,^a Appie A. Peterson,^a Rebecca J. Abergel^{a,b*}

^aChemical Sciences Division, Lawrence Berkeley, CA 94720 USA, ^bDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA, ^bDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA, ^bDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA, ^bDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA, ^bDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA, ^bDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA, ^bDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA, ^bDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA, ^bDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA, ^bDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA, ^bDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA, ^bDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA, ^bDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA, ^bDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA, ^bDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA, ^bDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA, ^bDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA, ^bDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA, ^bDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA, ^bDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA, ^bDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA, ^bDepartment of Nuclear Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720 USA, ^bDepartment of Nuclear Engineering

Objective Gain insight on the redox and coordination chemistry of thorium fuel cycle intermediate **protactinium (Pa)** by studying the electrochemical behavior and oxidation state of surrogate Niobium (Nb) while complexed with biologically-inspired chelators with the purpose of applying this knowledge for **improved safeguards** considerations and aqueous reprocessing. **Mission Relevance & Motivation** Safeguards requires: —233-Pa — 233-U 10 Increased knowledge of Pa separation behavior. • More comprehensive safeguards practices for ²³³Pa and ²³³U.¹ ε Σ₂ Group V chemistry is underdeveloped due to: • A high tendency towards 0 10 20 30 40 50 60 70 80 90 hydrolysis & stable oxide Time (Days) products.^{2,3,4} Figure 1: Mass evolution of 200 MCi pure ²³³Pa (~10kg) decaying into ²³³U For Pa: radioactivity and scarcity over the course of 3 months. of material as well (n, γ) ²³¹Th ²³²Th ²³³Th \rightarrow ◀____ t_{1/2} = 1.4e10 y t_{1/2} = 21.83 m t_{1/2} = 25.52 h Starting Materia (n,2n) ²³¹Pa ²³³Pa ²³²Pa -----> <----t_{1/2} = 1.32 d t_{1/2} = 26.975 d t_{1/2} = 3.27e4 y Figure 2: Some of the radio-nuclides produced in 232**U** 233U (n, γ) ²³²Th/²³³U breeder reactors ----t_{1/2} = 1.59e5 y t_{1/2} = 68.9 y and their half-lives. **Background: Group V Chemistry** Figure 3: Predominance diagram for **Physical Properties** mononuclear species of pentavalent Commonly 5+ or 4+ in aqueous Nb, Ta, and Pa in non-complexing solution. Hydrolysis occurs readily media, highlighting the effects of hydrolysis on speciation.⁴ non-complexing media in decreasing in the order:^{2,3,4,5} Pa(OH)6 Ta(OH)₆ Nb > Ta >> PaNb(OH) conditions that Thus, allow

Objective & Mission Relevance

pH₈ Pa(OH) successful complexation of Nb (vs. hydrolysis) should work for Ta & Pa Ta(OH) with less issue. Nb(OH)₅ PaO(OH) Nb_2O_5 Pa_2O_5 highly and are insoluble in all but HF, and slightly Ta(OH)₄⁺ PaO(OH) in oxalic acid.^{3,4,5} Та Pa Nb -0.213V → Nb⁴⁺ -1.14 Nb⁵⁺ -1.40 $Nb_2O_5 \xrightarrow{-0.1V} Nb^{3+}$ $PaO(OH)^{2+} \xrightarrow{-0.1} Pa^{4+} \xrightarrow{-1.9} (Pa^{3+}) \xrightarrow{-4.0} (Pa^{2+}) \xrightarrow{0.15} Pa^{2+}$ Figure 4: Redox potentials for Nb and Pa.

(a) Single electron reduction potential (V/SHE) of Nb(V) in 10.75 M HCl w/ a mercury-pool working electrode.⁶ (b) Two electron reduction potential (V/SHE) of Nb(V) in aqueous solution.⁷ (c) Latimer Diagram for Pa in aqueous solution (V/SHE).⁷









This material is based upon work supported in part by the Department of Energy National Nuclear Security Administration through the Nuclear Science and Security Consortium under Award Number DE-NA0003996.







²³³Pa Characterization eground (1526919 coun Live Time: 2486 s Real Time: 2500 s Figure 10: HPGe v spectrum of anionexchange resin in 0.5 M HCl after Np elution. (2.9 cm from detector). 81.40 ± 0.2 nCi Pa-233 (3.919 pg) 39.80 ± 0.1 nCi Np-237 (56.588 µg) **Future Direction Niobium HOPO Complex** Pu L_{III}-edge 1.5 • Further optimization of Nb-HOPO synthesis, in aqueous and organic media Pu^{III}-343-HOPO — *E*_{WL} = Pu^{III} / 1 M HCIO₄ — *E*_{WL} = Pu^{IV} / 1 M HCIO₄ --0.5 • Explore ligand variations 18080 18020 • Characterize via NMR, XRD (b) and XAS (XANES/EXAFS) 1.5 Bk L_{III}-edge **Protactinium Chemistry** BkII-343-HOPO -Bk^{III}-DTPA -E_{WL} = Bk^{III}-DTPA -• Purification of ²³¹Pa from EwL≈ Bk^{IV} daughters via precipitation Based on optimized conditions E_i (eV) Figure 11: Example XANES

from Nb-HOPO synthesis, complex Pa with HOPO.

Characterize via NMR, UV-Vis, XRD, XAS, etc.

spectra showing the oxidation states of Pu (a) and Bk (b) when complexed to HOPO.¹²

References

1. Uribe, E. et al. Accountable Nuclear Material Production from Fissile Isotope Precursors in Advanced Fuel Cycles: The Case of Protactinium. United States, 2021. 2. Le Naour, C. L.; Maloubier, M.; Aupiais, J. Radiochimica Acta 2022, 110 (6–9), 481–

3. Myasoedov, B. F.; Kirby, H. W.; Tananaev, I. G. Protactinium. In The Chemistry of the Actinide and Transactinide Elements; Morss, L. R., Edelstein, N. M., Fuger, J., Eds.; Springer Netherlands: Dordrecht, 2006; 161–252.

4. Pershina, V. Radiochimica Acta 1998, 80 (2), 65-74.

5. Jehng, J.-M.; Wachs, I. E. Journal of Raman Spectroscopy 1991, 22 (2), 83-89. 6. McCullough, J. G.; Meites, L. Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry and Interfacial *Electrochemistry* **1968**, 19 (1), 111–123

7. Bard, A.J.: Parsons, R.; Jordan, J. The Actinides, in Standard Potentials in Aqueous Solution; International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry (IUPAC): New York, New York, **1985**; 631-675.

8. Sturzbecher-Hoehne, M. et. al. Radiochimica Acta 2013, 101 (6), 359–366.

9. Mounce, A. M. et. al. Inorganic Chemistry 2016, 55 (17), 8371-8380.

10.Brown, D.; Whittaker, B. Journal of the Less Common Metals **1978**, 61 (1), 161–170. 11.Wilson, R. E. et. al. Radiochimica Acta 2014, 102 (6), 505–511.

12.Carter, K. P.; et. al. J Synchrotron Rad 2022, 29 (2), 315–322.

Acknowledgements

This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy (DOE) National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA) through the Nuclear Science and Security Consortium under Award Number(s) DE-NA0003996. Previous support for this work was provided by the University of CA, Berkeley through the Berkeley Fellowship. Isotopes, materials, and previous results were obtained through the DOE Office of Science Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences Division at Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory under contract DE-AC02-05CH11231.

